

19/05221/FUL

Consultations and Notification Responses

Ward Councillor Preliminary Comments

Councillor Lee

First comment: In the event that the officer is minded to approve, I would like this application together with the additional application 19/05222/FUL is brought to the Planning Committee for discussion.

This would appear to be over development of the site and the increase in height would seriously affect neighbouring properties.

Second comment: After further view of this revised application I would still like this application to be brought to the Planning Committee for consideration.

Parish/Town Council Comments/Internal and External Consultees

Wooburn and Bourne End Parish Council

First Comment: Strongly object. The creation of a second floor will strongly impact the privacy of the neighbours in Fieldhead Gardens and is overdevelopment with inadequate parking spaces which will result in residents parking on the main road which will further impede traffic flow. This is an example of greediness by the developer as the number of units had already been increased from thirteen to fifteen. We support the neighbours' comments regarding the loss of light and privacy.

Second Comment: Objection. We support our previous comments. The amended plans do not resolve the original objections and concerns of the neighbours, namely the loss of light and privacy of the residents of Fieldhead gardens that a second floor will overlook. The development is not in keeping with the local street scene, and the fact that there is less than one parking space per unit means that some residents will park on the highway which will impede traffic flow. This is overdevelopment.

County Highway Authority

Comments: No objections

Control of Pollution Environmental Health

Comments: I have no objection to this application.

Representations

13 letters of objection received:-

- Overlooking
- Overshadowing
- Loss of privacy
- Inadequate parking
- This is different to an office development as parking problem will be in the day and evening.
- Insufficient manoeuvring space on site.
- The amended scheme does not alter the objections previously raised